

7/13/2021

HR0689 97TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

HR0689

LRB097 16344 GRL 61607 r

## HOUSE RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, The Moorish Americans are the descendants of the ancient Moabites, Hamites, and Canaanites who were permitted by the Old Pharaohs of Kemet to traverse from East Africa and later formed themselves kingdoms extending from the northwestern and southwestern shores of Africa, the Atlantic Islands, and onto the present-day continental Americas; and

WHEREAS, The indigenous Moorish Peoples of the Americas are now united in order to again link themselves with the family of nations; and

WHEREAS, The Moorish Americans, being aboriginal to the territories of the North, Central, and South Americas, have formed a sovereign theocratic government guided by the command principles of love, truth, peace, freedom, and justice through virtue of the universal right to self-determination as well as with the Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples guaranteed in the Charter; and

WHEREAS, On January 8, 1886, Noble Drew Ali was born in the State of North Carolina, destined to become the first patriot of his mentally enslaved Moorish American People; in 1912, he was anointed as "El Hajj Sharif Abdul Ali" by the leaders of Egypt and the Holy City of Mecca to return to the United States

HR0689

- 2 -

LRB097 16344 GRL 61607 r

as the Last Prophet and Founding Father of the newly risen Nation of Moorish Americans; as a result of the 13th Amendment, Moorish people were emancipated from slavery in 1865; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we designate the week of January 8-15, 2012 as Moorish American

Holy week

Commonwealth v. Journal 1 and 10 with Ben

Case: 215 OCR 881899

8/3/21 12:30 pm

(1) of (2)

Billerica Hoc Pod-D, Cell 1-15

Wit to Strike Evidence  
and Dismiss the Case.

In Devereaux v. Abbey  
the Ninth Circuit held "there is a  
Clearly established Constitutional due  
Process right not to be subjected  
to Criminal Charges on the basis  
of false evidence that was deliberately  
fabricated by the government." 263 F.3d  
94 1024-75.

During the 'dangerousness hearing'  
the government played a, less than  
30 minute video from an 11 or 10  
Hour event. It is Prime Face Evidence  
that the government has tampered  
with the video evidence and has  
falsified and fabricated evidence.

I have Stated Multiple times  
that I have body cam footage  
of the entire 11 or 10 hour  
event that the government has

(2) of (12)

taken from me and is  
 Withholding causing a Brady  
 violation. I have also argued  
 the fact that the prosecution  
 claimed to have all the information  
 of an approximate 11 hour event,  
 written on 12 pages and it  
 along with the tampered video  
 is unfair, unconstitutional and  
 cannot properly portray the 11 hour  
 event in its proper context.  
 Emily Karsette, noted the objection  
 and in violation of my rights,  
 entered the video into evidence.  
 and somehow found me dangerous  
 regarding a 11 hour event based  
 on 30 minutes of video footage,  
 out of context, tampered with,  
 edited, shortened, and clearly  
 falsified and fabricated. The video  
 starts with Casey already out  
 of his patrol vehicle, but  
 that's not how the probable  
 cause narrative starts.

The police clearly conspired  
 to deprive me of my constitutional

(302) (12)

rights and the government  
 is maliciously prosecuting me  
 which is why they needed to  
 tamper with the video as its  
 clearly seen jumping to different  
 scenes and not starting with  
 Casey observing us pulled over,  
 instead it shows him flashing  
 a light on me and me attempting  
 to shake his hand. This is done  
 to paint and falsify evidence  
 as if I was already on  
 the highway arbitrarily armed.  
 My 2nd, fourth, fifth, sixth  
 and other rights have been,  
 are being and clearly will  
 continue to be violated by  
 the government.

The Supreme Court has held  
 that the presentation of false  
 evidence violates a criminal defendants  
 due process rights. *Napue v. Illinois*, 360  
 U.S. 264, 269, 79 S. Ct. 1173, 36 L. Ed.  
 2d 1217.

Under *Brady v. Maryland*  
 373 U.S. 83, 83 S. Ct. 1194, 10 L. Ed.

(4) or (12)

2d 215 the Suppression of evidence favorable to the accused and material to either guilt or punishment violates a defendants due process rights. It is clear that the removal or any portion of the approximate 10 hour video footage is material to my innocence or guilt and punishment. If I committed a crime there must be some form of injury and the video footage in its entirety will show that I have injured no one, there is no Corpus Delicti. Altering the video is clearly a Brady violation and tampering with evidence, especially since said evidence supports my innocence. The media and prosecution are conspiring to get a conviction with no evidence that is admissible. But fabricated and using the news stories to corrupt the potential jury pool.

Spoliation is the intentional suppressing of the truth and that results in prejudice to the opposing party. It is clear and prime face evidence

(5) of (12)

that the Shortened Video provided by the government is Spoiled and Suppressed the Truth, which has resulted in me being found dangerous based on a 11 hour event depicted in under 40 minutes.

See *Stevenson v. Union Pacific Railroad Co.* 354 F.3d 739 746 748. The entire video, unedited could have shown and proven that I am not dangerous. From me peacefully greeting Casey to me trying to give Casey any information he would need to do his job properly and efficiently, to me reminding the police continuously that we had no intentions on being violent or dangerous or escalating things or fleeing, to my men asking me and ensuring that I deal with things peacefully, then me willing to speak with any Trooper on scene and with respect. We also assured the police that we were not pointing any arms at them and



(6) of (12)

that we had no intentions to  
 although they (the police) had  
 already unnecessarily and unconstitutionally  
 without cause, warrant or suspicion,  
 escalated things to the point of  
 danger by establishing a firing line  
 against us. In the police narrative  
 the troopers claim they heard  
 rifles, meaning multiple, being chambered  
 in the tree line, yet in the video  
 footage played during the dangerousness  
 hearing by one of the attorneys  
 it is clear that the police  
 were going down one in an  
 attempt to escalate things which  
 they did and establish a firing  
 line which they did, and hold  
 us hostage, which they did.

The Supreme Court in Brady v Maryland  
 held that it is a due process requirement  
 to disclose both exculpatory (clears) and  
 impeachment evidence. Clearly by suppressing  
 my body cam footage, altering and tampering  
 with Casey's body cam footage and the  
 removal of my YouTube channel ~~COLO~~  
 I have been live during the time

(2) or (12)

that we were being discriminated against because of our national origin and held hostage by the police, is clear and prima facie evidence that the prosecution is suppressing evidence and that said evidence is favorable to my defense which meets the Brady requirements. The police have my phone and my Youtube and Instagram have been deleted since it has been in the governments custody. This is evidence that the government is acting maliciously, discriminating against us because of our national origin; suppressing, altering and deleting evidence material ~~and~~ favorable to our innocence and defense and exculpatory.

Additionally, as stated in my Notice of Violation of my Constitutionally Secured right to Discovery and Constitutionally Secured right to prepare a proper defense for myself — I have, to date, 8/3/21 not received a complete discovery, nor received any of the things I requested in discovery, nor have I been able to review my own or any video



8 of 12

footage, to include the interview conducted by the police detective with Lt. Officer present of me, nor have I been given my own video footage, nor have I been allowed to type documents to prepare a defense for myself. Nor has anyone from the Prosecution attempted to communicate with me regarding completing the discovery, to which the aforementioned 'Notice' was mailed to Marian Ryan.

I have been expressing the fact and providing supportive evidence to the fact(s) that my rights, all of them, are being violated and or I am forced to waive them via deceit, trickery, duress, or coercion. I have no intentions on waiving any of my rights ever. In violating the right to a trial by jury and speedy trial before I express and intend on having immediately.

(9 or 12)

Although I have Served in  
 the Marine Corps and received  
 an Honorable Discharge after taking  
 an oath to Support and defend  
 the Constitution, my Constitutional  
 rights are being arbitrarily denied.

The law of the land  
 is that I am innocent until  
 proven guilty and although I have  
 done nothing violent or dangerous  
 and have not been found guilty  
 I have been Subject to  
 20+ hours of confinement every  
 day since 7/3/21. It  
 is a violation of due  
 process to have been found  
 dangerous for a crime I  
 haven't even been convicted of.

How can one be considered  
 dangerous and innocent? How  
 can one be detained and jailed  
 if innocent. Emily Kerseetter never  
 stated on the record what her  
 probable cause or reason to hold  
 me.

~~She said I was dangerous because I was a~~  
~~Marine and I was a Marine.~~

(10) of (12)

Emily Karsetter has never stated on the record what I did that was dangerous. The Federal District Courts have already stated in Miller, Heller, Brenna and others, that the carrying of a gun, carrying of large capacity magazines and ammunition constitute no offense - See North Carolina Supreme Court Case State v. Huntly. Yet I am being charged as a former Marine for exercising the very rights I fought, my father fought and grandfather fought for by serving in the Armed Forces.

An entire militia was unconstitutionally arrested during the U.S. Independence Day weekend, a celebration of the militia men who fought for the then colonies freedom.

That is hypocrisy and anti-government, anti freedom, anti-republican form of government (Article 4 Section 4

(1) OR (2)

U.S. Constitution). It is clear that I have been and remain peaceful as well as have been and will continue to enforce my rights. But it is also clear that the Commonwealth viz its prosecution and Officer of the Court Emily Karsetter are discriminating against me because of my National Origin; are violating and depriving me of my Constitutionally Secured rights and I can prove that I have suffered irreparable damage as a result of this conspiracy and enforcement of Color of law.

I need help, assistance and relief from the Federal District Court. I will argue my own case. I merely need to be heard by a competent Judge who mixes with Morals, prudence and a sense of and for humanity.

(12) of (12)

I am a Moorish American,  
 guided by the Supreme Laws of  
 Love, Truth, Peace, Freedom and  
 Justice. Allah is my protector  
 and Salvation by night and  
 by day, through his Holy Prophet  
 Noble Drew Ali.

Prophet Noble Drew Ali  
 and his Moorish American People  
 have been Officially recognized as  
 a Separate Nation with a Separate  
 Government in treaty with the  
 United States of America, via  
 the Certified documents from the  
 Legislator of the State of Illinois  
 House Resolution 0689, titled, 'Moorish  
 American week', and the Legislator  
 of the State of Georgia, House  
 Resolution 1203 by rep Able Mable  
 of the 54th by the same title  
 'Moorish American week'. This case must be  
 Jamhal Talib Abdallah Bey Dismissed  
 X ~~Jamhal Talib Abdallah Bey~~ With Prejudice.